



015013

Message 14 of 21

From: "AL MAC KENZIE" <WB6BBH@arrl.net>
To: <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 14:39:47 -0800
Subject: RM-10620

Received: from mail.netzon.net (netzon-gw.netzon.net [65.200.2.65])
by acorn.net (8.11.6+Sun/8.11.6) with SMTP id gBVMdgs11540
for <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>; Tue, 31 Dec 2002 17:39:43 -0500 (EST)
Received: (qmail 23075 invoked from network); 31 Dec 2002 22:39:35 -0000
Received: from 208-187-134-131.lax.ca.ppp-inter.net (HELO np0qadckaosc1n) (208.187.134.131)
by hb1.netzon.net with SMTP; 31 Dec 2002 22:39:35 -0000
Message-ID: <000801c2b11d\$876c60f0\$8386bbd0@np0qadckaosc1n>
From: "AL MAC KENZIE" <WB6BBH@arrl.net>
To: <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>
Subject: RM-10620
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 14:39:47 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C2B0DA.77B73650"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2720.3000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
X-UIDL: -Lc!!BIN!!U7j!!Aj~!!
Status: RO

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C2B0DA.77B73650
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

KUDOS TO YOU DALE TO GET AN RM FROM THE FCC I HAVE TRIED TO MAKE =
COMMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL BUT IT WONT WORK FOR ME. ONCE AGAIN =
THE FCC MAKES THIER E MAIL FORM TO COMPLICATED. I SUPPLIED ALL THEY =
WANTED & GET THE ANSWER THAT MY NAME ADDRESS & ZIP CODE ARE IN ERROR. I =
CERTAINLY DID TRY. I HAVE READ THE ARRL BULLETIN ON SEVERAL HF NETS & DO =
HOPE THOSE THAT MAKE THIER COMMENTS ARE SUCCESFULL.



HAPPY NEW YEAR

73 88
AL ALICE
ARRL BULLETIN MANAGER-ORANGE SECTION
www.qsl.net/arrl-orange/
www.3952khz.net
WB6BBH@arrl.net

-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C2B0DA.77B73650
Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2722.900" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV>KUDOS TO YOU DALE TO GET AN RM FROM THE =
FCC I HAVE=20
TRIED TO MAKE COMMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL BUT IT WONT WORK FOR ME. =





Message 15 of 21

From: W2RRT@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 19:41:48 EST
Subject: (no subject)

Hi Dale,

I have just read your petition RM-10620 and I think it's great on your request and feelings on that particular subject. I don't know what the FCC feelings is going to be. But what ever it is I thank you for trying. I have been a "HAM" for 56 years and cannot believe they (FCC) would do such a change with NO REWARDS for the extra license. All they did was degrade the licensing system by demoting the class "A" status to a lower level with NO explanation. I WILL NEVER TAKE THE EXTRA CLASS TEST. Those are my personal feelings. In any event, thaks again for your interest and petition.
sincerly and best 73's
Nick Harris W2RRT
W2RRT@aol.com



MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopaedia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude





1250003

Message 8 of 39

From: "CW03" <cwo3@elp.rr.com>
To: <ak437@acorn.net>
Date: Mon, 30 Dec 2002 16:53:08 -0700
Subject: RM10620

Received: from txsmtp03.texas.rr.com (smtp3.texas.rr.com [24.93.36.231])
by acorn.net (8.11.6+Sun/8.11.6) with ESMTP id gBUNr9s18440
for <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>; Mon, 30 Dec 2002 18:53:10 -0500 (EST)
Received: from k6cwo (cpe-24-174-215-49.elp.rr.com [24.174.215.49])
by txsmtp03.texas.rr.com (8.12.5/8.12.2) with SMTP id gBUNnqUr015565
for <ak437@mail.acorn.net>; Mon, 30 Dec 2002 18:49:54 -0500 (EST)

Message-ID: <00a901c2b05e\$9a914020\$1400a8c0@k6cwo>
From: "CW03" <cwo3@elp.rr.com>
To: <ak437@acorn.net>
Subject: RM10620
Date: Mon, 30 Dec 2002 16:53:08 -0700
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_00A6_01C2B023.EDD86140"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
X-UIDL: QHud99?f!!^nd!!c_]!!
Status: RO

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_00A6_01C2B023.EDD86140
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Hi Dale, I would prefer to address my comments about RM-10620 using a =
more direct avenue, at least get you comment first.

I think that your suggestion the establish a rule change that would =
advance by one level "Novice" and "Advance" ham radio license holders, =
that have held there license for more than twenty years, is admirable, =
and at first brush a really great suggestion that would encourage and =
recognize deserving individuals.

I haven't been a ham that long, first licensed in April 2000. My =
experience since then is that the longer many, not all, ham radio =
operators are licensed the less they honor the spirit of ham radio, and =
conduct themselves as "elitist". The biggest violation being the use of =
the phonetic alphabet. Even when requested many senior hams simply =
repeat what ever it is they have become accustom to, or make some =
unnecessary comment. The "elitist" attitude does not end there, but =
that is the most common infraction, or lack of courtesy even when asked =
for.



I agree that with time all active hams learn more about the hobby than =
can be found in any book, although I must admit that the available =
documentation on a whole range of ham related topics is excellent. As =
it relates to the "Novice" class license's...somewhere along the line =
they should have learn just enough to take the test for the next level. =
As it applies to the "Advance" class license's I can see that having to =
recall the amount of technical knowledge required to achieve "Extra" =
class may be a tall order, and if they are in good standing in the ham =
community, then I agree with your recommendation, with my above comment. =

I am confident that you, as are all active ham radio operators. are =
familiar with the points I have commented on. I would enjoy a dialogue =
with you, so as to listen to your perspective before making a public =
comment with the FCC.

Sincerely,
Roland
K6CWO

-----=_NextPart_000_00A6_01C2B023.EDD86140

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="Windows-1252"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Dwindows-1252">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1126" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#d4d0c8>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin>Hi Dale,&nbsp; I would prefer to =
address&nbsp;my=20
comments about RM-10620 using a more direct avenue, at least get you =
comment=20
first.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin>I think that your suggestion the establish a =
rule change=20
that would advance by one level "Novice" and "Advance" ham&nbsp;radio =
license=20
holders, that have held there license for more than twenty years, is =
admirable,=20
and at first&nbsp;brush a really great&nbsp;suggestion that would =
encourage and=20
recognize&nbsp;deserving individuals.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin>I haven't been a ham that long, first licensed =
in April=20
2000.&nbsp; My experience since then is that the longer many, not =
all,&nbsp;ham=20
radio operators are licensed the less they honor the spirit of ham =
radio, and=20
conduct themselves as "elitist".&nbsp; The biggest violation being the =
use of=20
the phonetic alphabet.&nbsp; Even when requested many senior hams simply =
repeat=20
what ever it is they have become accustom to, or make some unnecessary=20
comment.&nbsp; The "elitist" attitude does not end there, but that is =
the most=20
common infraction, or lack of courtesy even when asked for.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin>I agree that with time all active hams learn =
more about=20
the hobby than can be found in any book, although I must admit that the=20
available documentation on a whole range of ham related topics is=20
excellent.&nbsp; As it relates to the "Novice" class =
license's...somewhere along=20
the line they should have learn just enough to take the test for the =
next=20
level.&nbsp; As it applies to the "Advance" class license's I can see =
that=20
having to recall the amount of technical knowledge required to achieve =
"Extra"=20
class may be a tall order, and if they are in good standing in the ham=20
community, then I agree with your recommendation, with my above=20
comment.&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin>I am confident that you, as are all active ham =
radio=20
operators. are familiar with the points I have commented on.&nbsp; I =
would enjoy=20
a dialogue with you, so as to listen to your perspective before making a =
public=20
comment with the FCC.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin>Sincerely,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DDolphin>Roland</FONT></DIV>
```

<DIV>K6CWO</DIV></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_00A6_01C2B023.EDD86140--

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopædia Studios
Microcompanies With Attitude





Message 14 of 21

From: "AL MAC KENZIE" <WB6BBH@arri.net>
To: <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 14:39:47 -0800
Subject: RM-10620

Received: from mail.netzon.net (netzon-gw.netzon.net [65.200.2.65])
by acorn.net (8.11.6+Sun/8.11.6) with SMTP id gBVMdgs11540
for <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>; Tue, 31 Dec 2002 17:39:43 -0500 (EST)
Received: (qmail 23075 invoked from network); 31 Dec 2002 22:39:35 -0000
Received: from 208-187-134-131.lax.ca.ppp-inter.net (HELO np0qadckaosc1n) (208.187.134.131)
by hb1.netzon.net with SMTP; 31 Dec 2002 22:39:35 -0000
Message-ID: <000801c2b1ld\$876c60f0\$8386bbd0@np0qadckaosc1n>
From: "AL MAC KENZIE" <WB6BBH@arri.net>
To: <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>
Subject: RM-10620
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 14:39:47 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C2B0DA.77B73650"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2720.3000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
X-UIDL: -Lc!!BIN!!U7j!!Aj~!!
Status: RO

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C2B0DA.77B73650
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

KUDOS TO YOU DALE TO GET AN RM FROM THE FCC I HAVE TRIED TO MAKE =
COMMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL BUT IT WONT WORK FOR ME. ONCE AGAIN =
THE FCC MAKES THIER E MAIL FORM TO COMPLICATED. I SUPPLIED ALL THEY =
WANTED & GET THE ANSWER THAT MY NAME ADDRESS & ZIP CODE ARE IN ERROR. I =
CERTAINLY DID TRY. I HAVE READ THE ARRL BULLETIN ON SEVERAL HF NETS & DO =
HOPE THOSE THAT MAKE THIER COMMENTS ARE SUCCESFULL.



HAPPY NEW YEAR

73 88
AL ALICE
ARRL BULLETIN MANAGER-ORANGE SECTION
www.qsl.net/arri-orange/
www.3952khz.net
WB6BBH@arri.net

-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C2B0DA.77B73650
Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2722.900" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV>KUDOS TO YOU DALE TO GET AN RM FROM THE =
FCC I HAVE=20
TRIED TO MAKE COMMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL BUT IT WONT WORK FOR ME. =

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopædia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude





RECEIVED
DEC 30 2002

Message 11 of 21

From: "Bob Maser" <bmaser@tampabay.rr.com>
To: <ak437@acorn.net>
Date: Mon, 30 Dec 2002 22:49:25 -0500
Subject: RM-10621

Dale, I disagree with your proposal RM-10621 just as much as I disagreed with the no code Extra. And I still think that it was a slap in the face for those of us that had to get to that 20 WPM level in order to be able to use DX frequencies. This latest proposal of yours makes no sense at all. The way I look at it, if you fail the driving test for 20 years you still shouldn't be given a license to drive until you learn well enough to pass the test. Anyone who has been an Advanced for 20 years either doesn't have the interest in putting in the effort or has probably been inactive for most of that time. We all seem to be so concerned that this great hobby of ours is getting obsolete that we are willing to drop the price of admission so that anyone can get on the air. If you would take the time to listen around the bands, you can hear testimony that the hobby is becoming almost as bad as CB.

Sincerely,
Bob Maser
W6TR

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopædia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude





Message 12 of 39

From: wa4ixn@juno.com
 To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
 Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 05:13:12 -0600
 Subject: Upgrades

Hi Dale,

Your proposal is good. Most hams don't realize that the exams have become easier through the years. The purpose being to swell the ranks with new hams without making it too difficult for them. In the "good-old-days" the exams were more technically oriented to the kind of equipment one would expect to be operating. A lot of which would be built from scratch or converted from military equipment. Rules and regulations weren't such a large portion of the exams. Neither was a lot of algebraic calculation that had little or no use in the 'real world' of ham radio. It seems now that the 'wizards' who sit and dream up the question pools are looking for electronic engineer types instead of ordinary people who just want to enjoy the hobby and talk to others of the same ilk down the street or around the world. I've been saying -- too loudly sometimes -- that if you want to play the moon bounce, ham TV, microwave, satellite games, etc., then fine. Study up on the required technology and go for it. But don't take chunks of the ordinary bands away from those of us who studied hard for the exams of 30, 40, 50 years ago, with the bent toward punched steel, hand wired, tube filled chassis, and earned the privilege and used the whole band to good purpose, just to glorify your urge to expand your knowledge. I don't know if you were around back in the 1960's when, with the full support of the ARRL, the 'blue-bloods' of ham radio crammed their desire for "incentive licensing" down the throat of the FCC and took big chunks of the bands away from the majority of hams who were, for the most part, much more active in actually using those frequencies than they were. It took a lot of hard-nosed politicking and a lot of money in the right places, but they got it done over the cries of despair from the 'average' hams and even from such notables as Barry Goldwater who was very active in the efforts to stop the breaking up of the bands. A good 'for instance' would be: You've been a licensed driver for 20 or 30 years and have exercised the privileges of your license by driving any road you want, from one end to the other, including the superhighways and interstates, in your good old Ford or Chevy. Suddenly, a bunch of wealthy executives of the black tie and tails group with their Mercedes and Jaguars decide to change things more in their favor. If you can't, or don't want to, come up to their standard, then they are going to pressure the highway department into kicking you off the roads you have driven ever since you got your license. From now on you can only drive on the back roads and be crowded into grid block traffic while the high-and-mighty who meet the new standard they set for themselves get the full use of all the roads, including the almost vacant portions they've set aside for their exclusive use.

I studied hard. I build radios from scratch and scrap. I learned all I could about communications. I took and passed on the first go-around both the Novice and the General exams. Since then, over the past fifty (50) years, I've probably built more radio equipment from junk-box parts and from kits than any dozen of those so-called "super ham" Advanced and Extra class types. About the only things Heathkit made between 1955 (when I first could afford to buy them) and when they closed their doors in the mid '70s that I didn't build right out of the box was their television sets and stereo music boxes. The same for Knight kits and several Eico transceivers. Even now, at age 66, I still get the honor of handling the high-speed Morse on field day. Out of 69 club members there are only 3 of us who can handle more than 10 wpm. And I still use, almost exclusively, Morse in my daily operation. I don't use a keyer or a keyboard. I still use a 20-year-old Vibroplex (have worn out 3 of them), or when mobile an old WW-II leg-clamp J-38. I normally cruise along at 35-40 wpm, except with the J-38, and slow down only to work and help a Novice or Tech-plus learn code. I do have a microphone (D-104) on my desk, but it has a plastic cover on it and hasn't been used more than once in the last couple of years.





RECEIVED
DEC 30 2002
14:39:47

Message 14 of 21

From: "AL MAC KENZIE" <WB6BBH@arrl.net>
To: <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 14:39:47 -0800
Subject: RM-10620

Received: from mail.netzon.net (netzon-gw.netzon.net [65.200.2.65])
by acorn.net (8.11.6+Sun/8.11.6) with SMTP id gBVMdgs11540
for <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>; Tue, 31 Dec 2002 17:39:43 -0500 (EST)
Received: (qmail 23075 invoked from network); 31 Dec 2002 22:39:35 -0000
Received: from 208-187-134-131.lax.ca.ppp-inter.net (HELO np0qadckaosc1n) (208.187.134.131)
by hbl1.netzon.net with SMTP; 31 Dec 2002 22:39:35 -0000
Message-ID: <000801c2b11d\$876c60f0\$8386bbd0@np0qadckaosc1n>
From: "AL MAC KENZIE" <WB6BBH@arrl.net>
To: <ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us>
Subject: RM-10620
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 14:39:47 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C2B0DA.77B73650"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2720.3000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
X-UIDL: -Lc!!BIN!!U7j!!Aj~!!
Status: RO

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C2B0DA.77B73650
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

KUDOS TO YOU DALE TO GET AN RM FROM THE FCC I HAVE TRIED TO MAKE =
COMMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL BUT IT WONT WORK FOR ME. ONCE AGAIN =
THE FCC MAKES THIER E MAIL FORM TO COMPLICATED. I SUPPLIED ALL THEY =
WANTED & GET THE ANSWER THAT MY NAME ADDRESS & ZIP CODE ARE IN ERROR. I =
CERTAINLY DID TRY. I HAVE READ THE ARRL BULLETIN ON SEVERAL HF NETS & DO =
HOPE THOSE THAT MAKE THIER COMMENTS ARE SUCCESFULL.



HAPPY NEW YEAR

73 88
AL ALICE
ARRL BULLETIN MANAGER-ORANGE SECTION
www.qsl.net/arrl-orange/
www.3952khz.net
WB6BBH@arrl.net

-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C2B0DA.77B73650
Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2722.900" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV>KUDOS TO YOU DALE TO GET AN RM FROM THE =
FCC I HAVE=20
TRIED TO MAKE COMMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL BUT IT WONT WORK FOR ME. =

Yes, I am considering moving up to Extra Class, I've looked at the books and the question pool. To tell the truth, I have no use at all for satellites, TV, microwave, etc., and I find the high mathematics required just to regain the lost spectrum that I worked hard for 50 years ago, and feel I didn't deserve to have taken away from me, to be just so much useless garbage. I may be forced to learn all that algebraic gibberish to get my frequencies back, but I'll never use it and will toss the books in the trash the moment the exam is passed.

That brings me to the point of this letter. Why did you only specify advancing Novice and Advanced Class licensees?? There are thousands of General Class bums like me out here who are still burning at the stake over having our hard earned frequencies yanked away from us 35 years ago for the sake of a handful of nerds who only wanted the apportionment for their private use and who spend most of their time playing in the GHz bands anyway. We would love to be able to work the low ends and middle of the 80, 40, 20, and 15 meter bands again. To be able to spread out a bit so it would be so crowded all the time with everybody jammed in to small segments of the bands. Why not allow for General Class hams with 20 or more years of experience and clean records to get 'merit advancement' up to Extra Class too. I think that my 50 + years as a General (the highest class there was when I took the exam) and being highly active, especially in ARES, RACES, and Skywarn, with no violations or warnings and not even a OO note on my record, should count for something worthwhile rather than a 'look-down-the-nose from the hoity-toities of the modern super-hams, many of whom act as if they are the only ones deserving any operating privileges at all.

I think I'll get down off my soapbox now. This subject is one that I have been extremely angry and outspoken on for many years, and likely will continue to be so. I apologize if you feel I've overstepped the bounds of propriety here, but I'm not one to beat around the bush when it comes to something I think is important and will help improve the operating quality and morale of the majority of affected Amateur Radio operators.

Believe me. I have no quarrel with you as an Extra Class. I know you worked hard for it and deserve all the extra privileges it provides. I just think there are many of us out here who deserve more than the short shrift we got at the hands of a few holier-than-thou's who took command of the bands 35 years ago, with the able assistance of the ARRL pushing the buttons at the FCC. There's just not too many of us left now who have the strength left to fight anymore. When the last of us is SK it will all be over.

73 & Happy New Year
O. B. Wolf - WA4IXN
ex: 5A1TS, TA4RZ, DL4NH
WA4IXN/XV Air Mobile
WA4IXN/HZ Air Mobile





RECEIVED

JAN 30 2003

Message 5 of 22

From: "John E. Feltz" <jfw9jn@tznnet.com>
To: <ak437@acorn.net>
Date: Sat, 28 Dec 2002 08:11:37 -0600
Subject: rm10620

Hi dale

I concur with your petition in its entirety. Please may I have the FCC address or web site so I can also add my two cents in this endeavor. I will ask other hams in the area to also see this proposal. Thanks.

73, John W9JN

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopaedia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude



MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopaedia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude





RECEIVED

JAN 5 0 8:15

Message 20 of 20

From: <k5zol@earthlink.net>
To: ak437@acorn.net
Date: Thu, 02 Jan 2003 12:48:17 -0800
Subject: Re: UR FCC petition

I went to their website. Is making comments easier than it looks? Looked like I'd have to spend some time learning their system and getting things in the format they accept?

I would like to comment, but have never done that before.

K5ZOL

On Thu, 2 Jan 2003 15:39:17 US/Eastern ak437@acorn.net wrote:

> Thanks
>
> Please have your comments filed on line at the
> FCC ECFS page.
>
> Any additional input would be help!
>
> If you have any other changes you feel should
> be blended into
> this please make your point line by line so
> they have public
> input.
>
> Thanks Again
>
> Dale Reich - k8ad
>
> > I think your upgrade petition makes a lot of
> sense. goodluck.
> > 73,
> >
> > Bob K5ZOL
> >
>
>
> -----
> This message was sent using ACORN.net, a
> service of the
> Akron-Summit County Public Library.
> <http://www.acorn.net>
>
>
>

